



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/538,417	03/29/2000	Tatsuo Takaoka	2271/61686	7451
7590 01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard F Jaworski			GRANT II, JEROME	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/538,417	TAKAOKA, TATSUO				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome Grant II	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	,					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5,6,8-15,22-33,38,39 and 41-50</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,16-21,34-37 and 40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximate	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	JEPONE SHARY				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:		PRIMARYEAR				
		•				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/538,417

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: facsimile apparatus is contemplated by Silvkoff with respect to the receiving and transmitting objects, see the supplement to the advisory action.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,417

Art Unit: 2626

Supplement to the Advisory Action

1.

Applicant contends that Silvkoff is not capable of receiving a fax transmission. Upon closer view, column 7 lines 23-40 teaches that message objects delineated as transmission objects and reception objects are associated with the CAN ID and are passed in and from the message buffer (up to 256 bytes, see line 30). Hence the apparatus 20, shown in figure 3, addresses the transmission of image data (textual as presumed) and the reception of it. Hence, apparatus 20 is a fax machine in the generic since. See also col. 12, lines 10-19 and col. 14, lines 19-22, lines 31-41 and lines 52-55 regarding the transmission and reception of message objects.

The examiner contends that F-Code data is interpreted in view of the specification as an identification information. There have been no arguments presented contrary to the CAN ID as an F-code.

Examiner has not received an English equivalent of the foreign priority alleging an earlier date of invention over Silvkoff.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,417

Art Unit: 2626

2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 703-305-4391. The examiner can normally be reached on 703-305-4391 from Mon.-Fri. to 9:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A Williams, can be reached on 703-305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Grant II